

Examination of Environment Protection Awareness Levels of Pre-Schoolers in Turkey

Seher Ersoy Quadiri^{1*} and Tülay Şener²

¹Necmettin Erbakan University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Social Work, Konya, Turkey

²Director at Private Beşevler Nursery School of Modern Education Cooperative, Bursa, Turkey

*Corresponding author: Dr. Seher Ersoy Quadiri, Necmettin Erbakan University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Social Work, Konya, Turkey, Tel: +374 10 23-72-61; E-mail: seherers@gmail.com

Received date: November 03, 2014, Accepted date: November 27, 2014, Published date: December 07, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Quadiri SE, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

In this study, nursery school students were trained on “recycling of solid wastes” to develop their environment protection awareness. The study was designed with a pre-test post-test experimental model with a control group, which is one of the experimental study paradigms. The study involved 6-year old children attending two different nursery schools in Konya city center during 2009-2010 education year in Turkey. One of the two schools is located in a high socio-economic region and the other in a low socio-economic region. The sample group is composed of 120 students of which 60 students in the experimental group come from two classes in one school, and the other 60 students from two classes in the other school. In the analysis of the data, pre-test and post-test average scores of the students on the Recycling Scale and standard deviation of their distributions were calculated, and t-test was administered to see if the difference is significant. The experimental group was instructed for 5 weeks with various simple environmental protection methods. After the instruction period, it was determined that the students in the experimental group outscored the students in the control group on the post-test. Thus, it was concluded that the instruction given was effective. Besides, it was found that recycling awareness level of students who received environmental education varied according to their socio-economic levels. Students from the school located in high socio-economic region were found to be more successful.

Keywords: Pre-school children; Nursery school students; Environmental education; Recycling of solid wastes; Recycling scale; Environmental protection values

Introduction

Environmental pollution is a growing problem in our country and all over the world. There are not only increasing consumption of natural resources by a growing population, but also our modern technology based lifestyle causes much destruction and pollution of the environment. There are of course many sources of pollution. There are also many means of curbing this global menace, but the central as well as the most important first step is a good environmental education. Although municipalities and non-governmental organizations often engage in consciousness raising activities to promote recycling and the topic is taught in environmental courses at schools, many people still drop litter on the streets and continue to pollute nature when they go trekking or go on a picnic.

Since it takes time to form habits, for older people it is difficult to develop and sustain environmentally responsible behaviors. Therefore, environmental education should start in the pre-school period when the brain develops fast and when the learning potential is highest. With education provided in the early years of life, individuals can develop positive attitudes and value judgments towards nature which can then translate into responsible behaviors.

The aim of this study has been to determine how an environmental education with the aim of teaching the reason and means of recycling could affect the environmental attitude of six-year-old pre-schoolers. The following hypotheses were developed to realize this general aim.

- Environmental education on solid wastes increases recycling awareness in six-year-old children.
- Environmental education with regard to recycling of solid wastes affects six-year-olds' recycling awareness at different levels depending on the socio-economic environment of their school.

Before we describe the method, the sample of pre-schoolers, and our findings, we will discuss why we have chosen to focus our study on the importance of recycling education for pre-schoolers.

The importance of an education on recycling

In pre-school education institutions, environmental education is to be given in stages. First, the child should be taught to develop the feeling of acceptance and understanding of being a part of the environment. In the next stage, feelings of responsibility will accompany the feeling of acceptance and s/he is directed to behaviors to protect the environment. These behaviors include keeping his/her room tidy by picking up his/her toys, keeping the house clean, helping to tidy up the materials after an activity at nursery school [1,2]. The preceding two steps have always been part of childhood education to maintain order at home and school.

The next step directly relates to environmental education. It focuses on realizing three general targets that will shape his/her environmental attitude in the future. These can be expressed as 3R rules: “reduce”, “reuse” and “recycle”. Thus, children understand that waste materials are not actually garbage and most of them can be reused in various ways. They will understand that they are not only protecting natural sources but also saving money [2,3]. In the next stages, the aim is to

make the children learn how natural systems work, their relations to human life, and how they can protect nature. For example, children are to be taught basic ecological principles about saving water, oxygen cycle, recycling, how plants grow, and the importance of fresh water sources for human health. However, the learning about the importance of recycling that inculcates the need to prevent waste is an essential first step of learning about environmental protection.

In pre-school educational institutions, there are various opportunities to carry out activities for environmental education. However, a special effort is to be made to teach environment protection concepts. For example, Palmer [4] examined the level of 168 children aged between 4 and 6 years in terms of understanding and misunderstanding concepts about waste materials. As a result of the study, it was found that six-year-old children were the most knowledgeable about the environment among the other age groups in the study but very few of them could explain why materials are recycled.

Method

Study model

Test model has been used while conducting the study. Pretest and posttest models were used with the experiment group, to which an education about recycling the rigid wastes was given, and the control group to which no such education was given.

Study group

Six-year-old students from Şehit Kubilay Kindergarten representing high socioeconomic level in Meram County of Konya Province of Turkey and six-year-old students from VesileSag Ergun Kindergarten representing a lower socioeconomic level in Selçuklu County of Konya have participated in this study. Both schools have classrooms of 30 people for six year age group and all students in these classrooms were included to this study. For this reason, it was not necessary to allocate a separate classroom for education practices. 120 students formed the sample group; experimental group of 60 people formed of 30 students from each school and control group of 60 people formed the same way. 51.7% of the students were male whereas 48.3% of them were female (Table 1).

GROUPS				TEST DESIGN		
	Socioeconomic Level	Class	Number of Students	Assessment Scale	General Procedure	Assessment Scale
					The group to which education	
Experimental Group	Low SED	age 6	30	Pretest	was provided face to face	Posttest
	High SED	age 6	30			
					The group to	

					which no education	
Control Group	Low SED	age 6	30	Pretest	was given	Posttest
	High SED	age 6	30			

Table 1: Test Design.

The study has been conducted with the age group of six-year-old children not only because they are pre-schoolers but also because their age is closer to age range (7-11) that Piaget found to be the concrete operation period when children learn to apply a perceptual knowledge in a concrete form in their daily lives. Therefore, perceptual thinking structure will rather change in to symbolic thoughts. The child can make generalization from personal experience. He has the structure of thinking more logically and he has conceptual knowledge [5].

Pretest results of "Recycling Scale" given as pretest at the beginning of experimental procedure and as posttest at the end of experimental procedure were taken into consideration in order to determine whether the study groups were equal or not in terms of determined variants. Analyses results were presented below in Table 2:

	GROUPS	N	\bar{X}	Sx	t	p
Pretest	Experimental Group	60	0.31 + 0.02	0.18	1.048	0.297
	Control Group	60	0.27 + 0.02	0.18		

Table 2: Comparing Pretest Knowledge Points Taken by Experiment and Control Groups with t Test in Independent Groups (n=120). *p<0.05.

t test was made in order to match the study groups in terms of pretest points. It has been seen that there is no significant difference (p>0.05) between pretest point taken by students in experiment (\bar{X} =0.31) and control (\bar{X} =0.27) groups from "Recycling Scale". Therefore, it can be said that students in experiment and control groups started the study with similar knowledge.

Data collection tool

"Recycling Scale" on a website named "Guide for Recycling", which has been established for children in England, has been used in order to measure knowledge level of students regarding recycling of rigid wastes [6]. While using the scale it has been asked to students whether they will throw each of 17 items, the pictures of which were shown to them (used bulb, used t-shirts, tin boxes, cardboard juice box, broken glass, plastic bottle, glass jar, newspapers, books, old chairs, banana peels, bones, used toothpaste, tin can, cardboard box, used envelopes, plastic bottles) into recycling box or organic trash (kitchen trash) or give them to a person in need. The answered that are given have been assessed by marking true answers as "1 point" and wrong answers as "0 point". The scale has been applied to the students in experiment group and control group twice, once before the education and once after the education.

The scale has been applied to 60 other students in kindergarten in order to determine the reliability of "the Scale for Recycling" At the

end of the application, reliability coefficient of the scale for rigid waste recycling, which is formed of 17 articles, has been calculated as Alpha = 0.721. This value has shown that the scale is reliable enough.

Environmental education works realized in experimental group

Education for recycling of rigid wastes have been conducted for three hours a week corresponding to a total of 15 hours in 5 weeks in the second term of 2009-2010 educational year between the dates of March 1st 2010 and April 2nd 2010. The education has been conducted by the students in Department of Preschool Education, Selcuk University. In order to improve children's values regarding environmental protection, "rigid waste" and "recycling" concepts, the importance of recycling and how recycling could be done was emphasized during the education. Different techniques such as reading storybooks with pictures, telling stories, making children watch cartoons, having them make drama practices, working with wastes and forming an organic trash (compost) in the school garden have been used during the education.

Firstly, by using the storybook named "Nature's Recyclers" [7], they were told how lichens, fungi, bugs and earthworms turn dead plants and animals into food for new plants and animals. However, it was explained that people prevent this recycling from happening because of the garbage they leave behind in the environment. Organic trash (composite) formation works were started in the school garden the same day in order to make students be able to observe how nature's recycling process functions. An organic trash can, some soil, and food wastes were obtained from the insertion's kitchen and some earthworms were used for that. This activity was monitored with the students regularly during the education process. A used glass bottle, an aluminum box, a plastic detergent bottle and some paper were brought to the classroom for the next activity and it was explained that these should not be mixed with other trash and must be stored somewhere else. It was explained with pictures how each of these items are taken from the factory containers and what kind of processes they are subjected to and what kind of products are obtained from them (Backer [8]; Environmental Education for Kids [9]; Guide for Recycle, [6]; IEPP [10]; Peia [11]). After that a recycling trashcan was made with colored cardboards and pasteboards in the classroom in order to make the students gain the habit of separating the trash from their resources. On another day, a carton telling about "The story of recycling", which was previously translated into and dubbed in Turkish, was shown to the students [9]. On the other days, six stories explaining the benefits of consuming as it is required, preferring reusable materials (cloth towel, plastic storage box etc.) instead of disposable materials (towels, napkins and paper dishes etc), making the items they were not using being reused by giving them to people in need and separating the trash from its resources were told to the students. After the stories, students performed short dramas to strengthen the subject that was learned or they were encouraged in some artistic activities.

Only pretest and posttest were applied to the control group and no education regarding the recycling of rigid wastes were given to this group.

Analysis of data

A "t test in independent groups" was applied in order to determine if the knowledge of students regarding the recycling of rigid wastes

changed depending on whether they got the relevant education or not and on the socioeconomic environment that the school was in. A "t test in dependent groups" was used while comparing the pretest and posttest results in the group.

Findings

The findings obtained by analyzing the data collected with the aim of testing the hypotheses are given in this section.

Findings regarding the first hypothesis

First Hypothesis of the study was "Environmental education about recycling the rigid wastes improves the consciousness about recycling in six-year-old children." It was tested whether recycling the consciousness of the student participating in the study in the experiment group were different at the end of the study. For this purpose, the pretest and posttest average points taken by the students in experiment and control group from Recycling Scale were compared and the findings regarding these comparisons were given below in Table 3.

Groups		N	\bar{X}	S_x	t	P
Experiment Group	Pretest	60	0.31 + 0.02	0.18	10.753	0.0001***
	Posttest	60	0.63 + 0.02	0.17		
Control Group	Pretest	60	0.27 + 0.02	0.18	1.503	0.138
	Posttest	60	0.33 + 0.03	0.21		

Table 3: Comparing Pretest and Posttest Points Taken by Experiment and Control Groups within Themselves with t Test in Dependent Groups (n=120). *p<0.05 **p<0.01***p<0.001.

It is seen in the findings in Table 3 that there is an increase in posttest points (X= 0.63) compared to the pretest points (X= 0.31) the experiment group took from Recycling Scale. However, no significant difference was observed between the pretest points (X= 0.27) and posttest points (X= 0.33) that the control group students took from assessment scale as it is expected (p>0.05). The fact that no difference was observed in the knowledge level of the control group to which education was not given showed that the students in this group were not affected by a resource (family, friends, television etc.) during the study or they had no uncontrollable interactions inside the school with the students in the experiment group. This result was in favor of experiment group and showed the effectiveness of the education that was given and was kind of an answer to the solution stated at the beginning of the study.

Also the question of whether there was a difference between the posttest average points of the experiment and control group was explored in order to test how effective the environmental education that was provided to the experiment group during the study was. Analysis results of the groups' posttest average points were given in Table 4.

When posttest points of experiment (X= 0.63) and control (X= 0.33) groups are compared a statistically significant difference has been determined in favor of the experiment group (p<0.001).

According to this result, it can be said that the education given in the experimental group was effective and it improved the conscious for recycling for the students (Table 4).

	GROUPS	n	\bar{X}	S_x	t	P
Posttest	Experimental Group	60	0.63 + 0.02	0.17	8.702	0.0001***
	Control Group	60	0.33 + 0.03	0.21		

Table 4: Comparing Posttest Knowledge Points Taken by Experiment and Control Groups with t Test in Independent Groups (n=120). *p<0.05 **p<0.01 ***p<0.001.

GROUPS	N	PRETEST				POSTTEST			
		\bar{X}	S_x	T	p	\bar{X}	S_x	t	P
High SED	30	0.39 + 0.03	0.18	3726	0.0001***	0.75 + 0.03	0.13	7.517	0.0001***
Low SED	30	0.23 + 0.03	0.15			0.51 + 0.02	0.12		

Table 5: Comparison of the Knowledge Points Experimental Group Students Took from Pretests and Posttests According to the Socioeconomic Environment of Their Current School and the Results of t Test in Independent Groups (n=60).

According to the findings in Table 5 the students in the environmental school representing higher socioeconomic level had more knowledge both before ($X=0.39$; $\bar{X}=0.23$) ($p<0.001$) and after ($X=0.75$; $\bar{X}=0.51$) ($p<0.001$) relevant education was given when compared to the students in environmental school representing lower socioeconomic level. In this case, it can be said that experiences they had with their families and in their immediate environment affected the consciousness of children from the environmental school representing the higher socioeconomic level in terms of being more aware of recycling rigid wastes.

The Result on the First Hypothesis and the Relevant Discussion

The first hypothesis of the study "Environmental education regarding recycling of rigid wastes will improve the recycling awareness of six-year-old children" was compared with pretest and posttest average points that the students took from Recycling Scale. While no statistically significant difference was observed between the pretest and posttest points of the control group, a statistically significant increase occurred in the pretest and posttest result of the experimental group. Therefore, it was concluded that the environmental education given to experimental group was effective and improved the awareness for recycling in the students. In an experimental study made by Özdemir and Uzun [12] the effect of science and nature activities conducted based on "Green Classroom Model" on environmental perception of kindergarten students, including processes such as germination, leaf development, blooming, perspiration and decomposition was examined "within the limitation of plants". It was determined as a result of the study that the environmental protection awareness of the experimental group students in green classroom environment, which allows direct interaction with the natural materials, improved in a more significant

Finding regarding the second hypothesis

The second hypothesis of the study was "Environmental education about recycling the rigid wastes affects the consciousness about recycling in six-year-old children differently according to socioeconomic environment".

For these purposes, pretest and post results taken from Recycling Scale by the students in Şehit Kubilay Kindergarten representing the lower socioeconomic level and the students in experimental group from Vesile Sağ Ergun Kindergarten were compared and the findings regarding these comparisons are given below Table 5.

manner when compared to the environmental perception of the students with whom the science and nature activities were conducted in a traditional classroom environment. In another study conducted by Akdağ and Erdiller [13] it was determined that the education given to six-year-old preschool students about protecting the underwater life and the seas improved the children's consciousness for protecting the environment. According to the results of all three studies, it can be said that environmental literacy improved the children's awareness of the need for environmental protection.

When the literature for environmental education for children was examined it was determined that there are more studies for the school age children [14-19] and there are only a few experimental studies regarding the children in preschool age [12,13].

In fact, the number of environmental education practices applied in preschool educational institutions in Turkey and the number of scientific studies conducted in this subject is quite limited. As a matter of fact, Şimşekli [20] recommended some activities to 4 kindergarten and 10 primary school administrators and teachers that could be applied during the 2000-2001 educational year in Bursa within the scope of "Practical Environmental Education". Some of the applied practices included collecting recyclable wastes separately, the making of composite and recycling of paper. Later when it was examined how much of these activities were conducted it was determined that the schools did not have the children participate in activities at a level which would be sufficient for a consciousness regarding the environment to be formed.

Akçay [21] compared Turkey and some other countries in terms of target, purpose and practices regarding environmental education within the scope of preschool education program. He determined that the importance given to environmental education activities among the other educational activities were approximately 100% in Germany,

37% Switzerland, 23% in Turkey, 22% in Japan, 19% in Canada and 14% in United States. This result shows that the amount of environmental education activities in the 2002 preschool program in Turkey was below the average level. Later, the content analysis of the preschool educational program, which was restructured in 2006, was made by Gülay and Ekici [22]. The purposes of environmental education were determined as follows: "Being able to tolerate others", "Being able to respect the different things", "Being able to accept responsibilities in improving and protecting life", "Being able to protect the beauties in the environment" and "Being able to arrange the environment in aesthetical terms". It was determined that only 25.9% percentage of the purposes in the program was environmental purposes. It was determined that the increase regarding environmental education was 15.5% overall. It was also determined that only 29.0% of the concepts in the program and 26.3% of certain days and weeks in the program are related to environmental education. According to these findings it was concluded that the studies of environmental issues in preschool education and the purposes, improvements, and concepts that will facilitate inspection of this subject were insufficient. In his study Buhan [23] also stated that it was just not enough although the program, renewed in 2006, had more purposes and gained on environmental education compared to that of the 2002 program. It has been stated that making the students acquire the habit of protecting the environment and preventing the pollution, collecting the usable rigid wastes separately for their resources, and some other recycling subjects, should be added to the relevant targets and behaviors that are expected to be gained with the environmental education during preschool education program.

These inspections regarding preschool curriculum show that the environmental education given in preschool education institutions in Turkey is limited to the curriculum determined by the Ministry of Education. However, it was observed that different programs developed for the environmental education in preschool period were applied abroad [24-27].

Also, one of the reasons why the environmental education is applied in a limited manner in preschool institutions may be that it is not taught as a separate lesson in the programs for preschool teacher education. As a matter of fact, Buhan [23] researched in his study the environmental awareness of preschool teachers according to the sub-dimensions of attitude, knowledge and behaviours, and he also studied how much they included environmental education in their educational programs. According to the findings he obtained, he determined that there were inconsistencies between the knowledge of teachers regarding the environment and their behaviors regarding the environment. For instances, it was determined that the percentage of teachers who knew that buying recyclable papers was important in terms of protecting the environment was 92.4% but the percentage of teacher who behaved accordingly was 15.2%. According to this result, it was suggested that preschool teachers did not have sufficient environmental awareness. It was suggested that this was because no education was given in any preschool teacher-education institutions, they did not have an in-service education regarding environment when they first started teaching and there were no environment-related programs in the schools at which they worked. On top of that, it was underlined that even if it were possible to provide environmental education within the scope of preschool education programs restructured in 2006 it was impossible for this program to be used effectively since the environmental consciousness of our preschool teachers was not sufficient.

In this case, placing environmental education in teacher-education programs may cause teachers to concentrate on environmental applications in their activities. Thus, children may be allowed to learn to improve their values in regards to protecting the environment.

Result on the Second Hypothesis and the Relevant Discussion

Second hypothesis of the study was "Environmental education about recycling the rigid wastes affects the conscious of recycling in six-year-old children differently according to socioeconomic environment." Pretest and post test point aver ages taken from Recycling Scale by the experimental group students in both schools were compared in order to test this hypothesis. As a result of the analysis, it was determined that the students in the environmental school representing a higher socioeconomic level started their education with a better knowledge of recycling and improved their consciousness regarding the environment better at the end of the education when compared to the students in the environmental school representing the lower socioeconomic level.

In fact, for the household to recycle their garbage it is not enough to have the relevant education or to have knowledge about the subject; the social factors, which are indicators of culture, (ethnic groups, social class etc.) may also affect the recycling role identity [28]. In other words the family, school and the child's immediate environment are three basic elements in providing environmental education for the child; and the environmental education starts inside the family and goes on with the school [29,30]. In this case, it can be said that experiences they had with their families and in their immediate environment affected the consciousness of children from the environmental school representing the higher socioeconomic level in terms of being more aware of recycling rigid wastes.

The finding of this study is not consistent with the findings from the previous studies conducted on children's conscious regarding protecting the environment. For example, in the study conducted by Smith et al. [18] on the effect of paper recycling on knowledge, attitude and behaviors of primary school students it was determined that the children in private schools improved more compared to the students in state schools. It was considered a reflection of socioeconomic difference that the private school students from richer and more homogeneous families display more recycling attitude and behaviors compared to the students in state schools. Similarly, in a study conducted by Haktanır and Çabuk [31] on environmental perceptions and environmental awareness levels of the preschool children, it was determined that the environmental perception of the children, whose mothers have taken higher education, were higher than the others. In a study conducted by Yılmaz and Andersen [32] on opinions of primary school and elementary school students on environmental problems based on the knowledge they obtained in science lessons, it was determined that the students who lived in urban areas and who had high levels of family income had more positive attitudes compared to the ones with low level of family income who were living in suburban areas. No difference was determined between children in a study conducted by Kesicioğlu [30] to reveal the natural environment experience that parents introduced to their children during preschool period and the attitude of children against environment. However, natural environment experience that the families made their children have has varied according to the educational status of parents. As the educational level of parents increase the environmental experience the children had also improved. Since education, like income, is one of

indicators of the socioeconomic level, all four study results support the finding that was obtained.

This study showed that environmental education applications made by using different techniques were effective in terms of teaching children the values for protecting the environment. Suggestions regarding practice and further study about environmental education in preschool education institutions are as follows.

Suggestions Regarding Practice and Further Study on Environmental Education in Preschool Education Institutions

Providing permanent solutions for solving environmental pollution problems and using the natural resources without damaging them to have a sustainable environment can only be possible by raising sensitive generations. For this reason, today, as the environmental pollution increases and threatens the lives of all beings in the ecosystem, it has gained importance to provide environmental education starting from the preschool age. As a matter of fact, Phenica and Griffore [33] determined in their findings that the children in preschool ages did not know enough about the world of nature and stated that the main problem in environmental education is that many children in the west who live in urban areas had very few chances to have a direct experience with nature.

In fact, environmental education does not require a costly program and a quality preschool educational program could be realized inside the natural environment. It is necessary for the children to love nature for them to protect their environment. As J.J. Rousseau stated in his work titled "Emile", which was published in 1762, it is necessary for children to be raised in unlimited natural environments such as forests instead of closed and limited environments such as rooms and houses and to know other beings in the nature and examine them personally [21]. Maria Montessori [34]; founder of Montessori Method in education) suggested building the educational institutions inside residences that are mingled with the nature or at least to build them with gardens in order to improve the love of nature in children [34,35] also underlined that it was necessary to prepare a program by using plants and animals in order to provide a basic environmental consciousness in children. She mentioned that ecological areas such as "winter garden", "flower garden", "bird feeding place" and "untouched nature corner" can be established in the kindergarten. Shortly, it will help children develop a sensitive attitude towards the environment to include to school activities outdoor expeditions, making inspections, playing with water, mud and sand, collecting the yellowed and fallen leaves, building nests for birds and growing vegetables. Thus, children can understand that the nature does not belong to humans only; we share it with other creatures and develop a responsible attitude towards nature. Only then they can understand why plants, animals and natural beauties should be protected and what can happen if we pollute the resources [36-38].

There are some projects in Turkey providing environmental education to children mingled with nature and including preschool education. For example, with the "Eco-schools Project" implemented within the cooperation protocol signed between the Ministry of Environment and the Ministry of Education in 1999, it was planned to make preschool education institutions and primary schools include the adoption of educational activities in the subjects of "protecting the environment, preventing the pollution, making students gain positive consumption habits, and collecting the usable rigid wastes separately

at their resources and recycling them". The project is still being implemented in 159 primary schools in various cities of our country [39,40]. In addition to that, "Green Classroom Applications" were started in recent years and this teaching model is being used in first and second levels in primary schools. The students are given responsibilities and activities such as seed germination, planting, grafting and cultivating decomposition (mixing organic wastes into soil and observe how they decompose) in "Green Classroom Model". Thus, it aimed to improve the environmental perception of children and to infuse them with a love of nature at an early age [41]. Increasing the amount of such projects and activities means more children having environmental sensitivity.

As a result, it can be said that when environmental education is provided to children in the preschool period by using different educational materials, and especially by doing that in natural environments with properly guided experiences, the consciousness levels of students for protecting the environment improves. However, as Akçay also stated [21] the activities in preschool education curriculum are open-ended and they are determined by the classroom teacher. For this reason, the activities a teacher makes regarding environmental education may vary on his knowledge of science and nature, his consciousness regarding the environment, his imagination, and the facilities of the institutions. So, cooperation can be facilitated between the ministry of Education and YÖK (Higher Education Institution). So that the teachers who will work in preschool education institutions can include environmental education during their university education. Environmental-education lessons regarding how teacher candidates can provide their students with environmental-consciousness activities can be added to the curriculum of faculties of education. Current teachers can be provided with in-service environmental education.

In short, individuals need to get the environmental education that will alert them to environmental problems from an early age and cause them to be able to make proper decisions in their immediate surroundings to solve these problems. Environmental education is essential to make children understand the natural environment and develop their values and behaviors regarding environment in a positive manner. Thus, children can develop sensitive attitudes against environmental pollution with the support of adults. However, in order to realize all of these goals in our country where the number of preschool children is less than 20% of the total population of children [42], first it is necessary to raise awareness of the adults and encourage them to send their children to preschool education institutions. Later, as in the example of Japan in Sancar's [43] study it is necessary to give importance to school-family cooperation and include parents in environmental education so that the children can apply what they learned about environmental education at home. An organization named the Council for Environmental Education, and cooperation between school-family and region, are mentioned in Sancar's [43-51] study. This Council starts courses in the schools where they make these applications, encourage research, and share their findings. The activities organized within the structure of the courses even include works like nature expeditions, cleaning beyond the school precincts, agricultural work, leaving baby salmon back intorivers, monitoring acid rain, and forming flower gardens. In fact, including the family in environmental education will make the things learned at school more readily applied at home and render environmental protection values, such as recycling, permanent.

References

- İleri R (1998) Provide Çevreeğit imivekat Limin ması.çev and korderg of thee 7: 3-9.
- Mert M (2006) Liseöğrenci are in inçevreeğ it imivekatiatıkl arkonu sundak ibil inçdüzey are in insaptanması hacettep My Height sanstevematematik the University iortaöğret Science Department, Ankara, Turkey.
- Coronato H (2008) Eco-friendly families. Published by the Penguin Group, USA.
- Palmer JA (1995) Environmental thinking in the early years: understanding and misunderstanding of concepts to waste management. Environmental Education Research 1: 35-45.
- John DR (1999) Consumer socialization of children: a retrospective look at twenty-five years of research. J of Consumer Res 26: 183-213.
- Guide for Recycle (2003) Accessed on 20 January 2009.
- Gomoll J (2009) Nature's recyclers. Produced by Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Accessed on 20 January 2009.
- Backer BF (1998) Teacher tips for classroom recycling. Scholastic Early Childhood Today 12: 7.
- Environmental Education for Kids (1998) Accessed on 20 January 2009.
- UNESCO-UNEP International Environmental Education Programme (IEEP) (1994) Environmental education activities for primary schools. Produced by the International Centre for Conservation Education for (IEEP). Accessed on 15 January 2009.
- Peia I (2008) My rough book of questions and antworten. Wissen Medio Verlag GmbH, Gütersloh / Munich Bertelsmann Lexicon Institute.
- Özdemir O, veuzun N (2006) Yeşils inıfmodeline göre yürütül the science vedoğae tkinlikler ininanas inıföğrencil erininçevrealg larınaetkisi.ÇocukGeliş imiveEğitimi Dergisi 1: 12-20.
- Akdağ Z, Erdiller Z (2006) Okulöncesi eğitimç dial dispenser tiles to acquire more to children in network installation şlarileiş volunteered to full cooperation . VII. National Science bilimlerin the algebraic eğitimkongr to 6-8 September 2006, Ankara.
- Ju EJ, Kim JG (2011) Using soil seed banks for ecological education in primary school. J of Biological Education 45: 93-101.
- Lindemann Mathies P (2006) Investigating nature on the way to school: responses to an educational programme by teachers and their pupils. Int J of Science Education 28: 895-918.
- Malone K, Tranter PJ (2003) School grounds as sites for learning: making the most of environmental opportunities. Environmental Education Res 9: 283-303.
- Sarıkaya S (2006) The method for navigating the environment in my area interaktiföğ retimiy. Celal Bayar University, Science ienstit the form of water sanstev of Science. Manisa.
- Smith JM, Rechenberg C, Cruvey L (1997) The impact of recycling education on the knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors of grade school children. Education 118: 262-266.
- Yılmaz D (2006) The Primary deçevreeğ iimiçiny öntemg is develop. Marmara University Science ienstit of science süyüksek lisanstev, Istanbul.
- Şimşekli Y (2001) Bursa "Apply malice vre eğitim ma " project seçileno kullarda to pılanet kinliklerinino kulyöneticisiv to görevliö teacher in lerinkat kısıyö the ündend eğerlend irilmesi.uludağ ieğit Faculty and University Magazine 14: 73-84.
- Akçay İ (2006) Farklılı forward like lizards in okulöncesiö grencilerine çevreeğitimi.uludağ University Institute of Social Sciences, Master Thesis , Istanbul
- Gülay H, Ekici G (2010) Preschool ncesi eğitim im programınınç e eğitimderg of VRE in eğitimiaç IS indananalizi. türkthe Ministry of Science 7: 74-84.
- Buhan B (2006) Preschool of sin in those dial dispenser göreviy to panöğret m civebuo kullard akciçev reeğit the mininar to ştırılması.marmar University ienstitü sieğit science and load seklisanstev , Istanbul.
- Cohen S (1993) For parents particularly: reclaiming our earth--recycling and conservation. Childhood Education 70: 44-46.
- Wilson RA (1993) The importance of environmental education at the early childhood level. Int J of Environmental Education and Information 12: 15-24.
- Wilson RA (1996) Environmental education programs for preschool children. The J of Environmental Education 27: 28-33.
- Starbuck S, Olthof M, vemidden K (2002) Hollyhocks and honeybees: garden projects for young children. Red leaf Press, 450 North Syndicate, Suite 5, St. Paul, MN, USA.
- Collier PJ, Callero PJ (2005) Role theory and social cognition: learning to think like a recycler. Self and Identity 4: 45-58.
- Bener Ö, Babaogul M (2008) Continuing to bilirt the ketimdav ranışiv the environmental consciousness Create mata biraraço to tüket icie ğit mA . Malaria university sisos yo lo p e incontinence is jikaraş magazine.
- Kesicioğlu OS (2008) Of parents in their children nlerinolo Kulon cesidö m (60-72 's) 've had klarıdo ğalçev redeney imleriv child larınç stage to karşıtut umları.gaz es University Institute of Educational Sciences lisanstev , Ankara.
- Haktanır G, Çabuk B (2000) In certain Preschool of Sidon in ğıları.hacet p k içocuk of çevreal university, IV. Sciences would ğitimik ongresibil alive to the base Science, Ankara; 76-82.
- Yılmaz Ö, Andersen HO (2004) Views of elementary and middle school Turkish students toward environmental issues. Int J of Science Education 26: 1527-1546.
- Phenica LA, Griffiore RJ (2003)Young children and the natural world. Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood 4: 167-171.
- Montessori M (1998) Instruction scientific gy, the children's home. Paris 68-71.
- Akyüz Y (1979) Spliting of environmental protection in training eçocukdoğav şkileri.ank is to universities with science rifa kült to my sieğit siderg of the, 12 (1), 85-96.
- Nikolaeva SN (2008) The ecological education of preschool children. Russian Education and Society 50: 64-72.
- Kansu AN (2008) Back ümünyay turn rolled out masiç nonce children. Çolukçuk cukderg of the (83: Nature), 58-59.
- Beder Şen R (2008) The Children DAÇEV rebilinc.
- Öner Armağan F (2006) Ilko circulation, 7-8. Sınıfö ğrencil erininç to vreeğitimiiil eilgibil gidüzey of (my heart is broken kaleil NEKLA) gaz University ieğitimbil Do they ienstit the süyükto klisanstev , Ankara.
- The Ilko ğretim nel director dom (2011) Çevreuyu mproje of (eco-schools) veokul lardaor financing.
- Yuksel A (2009) Klasiko servants Leeko - schools veyeşil flag ieko-çevreeğ itimiaç of school sındank is şlaştırılması.gaz University Institute of Educational Sciences Master Thesis, Ankara.
- Uzun N (2006) Altındağil variant of deçev reeğit ma " green classroom " model iuygul updates . Ankara millieğ education directorates Gua yınorg new " çevresela the " ten 8: 14-15.
- Unite mişmillet are developing Mapro gre (UNDP) (2008) UNDP Turkey 2008 insanig Lisa merapi is the " Turkey ' degençlik " .
- Sancar KN (2005) The japonayav the turkey is neg çevreieç in public education. Ankara, University dissertation sieğitimibil the mleri the stitüsüyük seklisans,, Ankara.
- Ayut Ö (2006) Bazıdeğış the lerino kulöncesiieğit the miöğretm those of science lişkingörüş lerineetki them to try to vedog. Gazi University, Institute of Education Sciences Master Thesis, Ankara.
- World Children's Information Report (2008).
- Huo X, Peng L, Xu X, Zheng L, Quiu B (2007) Elevated blood lead levels of children in Guiyu, an electronic waste recyclin town in Chine. Environmental Health Perspectives 115: 1113-1117.
- Kahyaoglu M (2009) Veteknolo environmental problems lerinöğr timiney forward to look like in the science of Teach menaday jiders hair , ready presence munities lariveöz - enough lilik of man menu appears r . Mehmet akifersoy & University magazine, the faculties ieğit, 9: 28- 40.

-
49. Rousseau JJ (1762) Emile - The Many cukbüyü . Çev.ülkü to kağündüz , selis of worship, İstanbul.
50. UNICEF (United Nations Children 's Fund) (2008) World mınduru my children 2008(kyaşat the child). Pel Offset, Ankara.
51. Yağlıkara S (2006) Preschool children 's cesidö em çevrebilinc to give mada Science in inet vedog the activities that s subjects taught mengörüş the offer. Anadolu University, Institute of Education Sciences Master Thesis, Eskişehir.