

Measuring the Socio-Economic Empowerment of Rural Households in Pakistan

Abrar-ul-haq M*, Jali MRM and Islam GMN

School of Economics, Finance and Banking, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia

Abstract

This paper is creating a socio-economic Empowerment Index, aimed at obtaining a first snapshot of the state of socio-economic empowerment as measured by the rural household survey. Instead it is meant to foster the debate on the determinants of socio-economic empowerment and also highlights the spatial dimensions of socio-economic empowerment. The most important section of this study is to develop an index for the measurement of socio-economic empowerment of rural households in Pakistan by using primary data of rural households which was collected through multi stage random sampling of rural households from division Bahawalpur and 600 household were interviewed for sample size. Weights of the indicator variables have been computed by using Principal Component Method. Socio-economic empowerment index gathers together mainly two factors, social and economic empowerment which twenty six indicators grouped in eight sub-pillars and in two main pillars.

Keywords: Principal component analysis; Social empowerment; Economic empowerment; Composite index; Division Bahawalpur

Introduction

Poverty reduction is the important agenda for the less developed countries. Rural poverty is one of the major issues in Pakistan. In spite of modest economic growth, rural poverty has not been reduced due to various reasons. Poverty is complex and it can be articulated in 'non-income' and 'income' components [1]. The non-income component of poverty is made up of peoples' participation, vulnerabilities, capabilities and empowerment. The non-income poverty is viewed as a condition of powerlessness, lack of voice, vulnerability, lack political participation, limited access to education and health. While the income poverty is viewed as lack of employment, land, other assets, credit, services and infrastructure. The non-economic approach of poverty such as social, political and psychological disempowerment has been emphasized in the poverty reduction strategy.

Evidences suggest that empowerment can enhance the capability of the poor people to overcome poverty. The empowerment approach places the emphasis on autonomy in community decision making, local self-reliance, direct democracy and social learning.

There is debate on the notion of 'socio-economic empowerment' has been rapidly increasing during the last decades. A sense of self-possession and autonomy has been developed through a process that may call social empowerment. Poor institutional arrangements and weak relationships among the rural community tend to acting collectively and individually that exclude poor people. Thus the poor section becomes marginalized due to lack of social empowerment. Individual's assets (i.e. livestock, saving, land, housing, etc) and capabilities: psychological (i.e. aspire to a better future, the ability to imagine, confidence and self-esteem), human (i.e. good health, education and employment) and social (i.e. leadership relations, social belonging and a sense of identity, etc.) are significantly affected the poor people's ability and its empowerment to hold others to account. Moreover, collective assets of peoples along with their capabilities (i.e. identity, representation, organization and voice) are essential.

Economic empowerment has considered to exercise greater control over both their life choice and resources furthermore, to allow poor people to think away from immediate daily survival. Economic empowerment allows households to take their decisions about

investment in various economic activities in order to boost their level of income. Economic empowerment can also enhance access to various social activities that improve status of poor and vulnerable households.

Several studies highlighted the importance of households' economic empowerment. Most studies focused four extensive areas of household's empowerment: a) transformative forms of social protection, b) the encouragement of the assets of poor people, c) skill training and d) microfinance. Economic empowerment is known as to permit poor people to think how to handle their own living choices and wealth. It enables households to make their personal choices about making investments in education and medical insurance, and captivating risks to be able to boost their income. There are evidences that economic empowerment could create stronger susceptible groups' involvement on the decision-making. Better decision making and good social position is normally just due to economic power.

The Federal Bureau of Statistics (2012) stated that nearly 38% population of rural areas in southern Punjab in Pakistan is poor and placed 2nd highest position after rural areas of NWFP. Poverty is more chronic and severe in rural areas of Balochistan, NWFP and Southern Punjab (IFAD, 2001)¹. However, southern Punjab has received little attention to include poor people in the development programmes which create serious policy issues. The severity of the poverty problem is observed in Bahawalpur division from Southern Punjab, Pakistan. Information is not sufficient to understand the social dimensions of poverty in southern Punjab.

A large number of studies are available in Pakistan who used socio-

¹The international fund for agriculture development (2001).

*Corresponding author: Abrar-ul-haq M, School of Economics, Finance and Banking, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia, Tel: 6049284000; E-mail: abrarchudhary@hotmail.com

Received March 17, 2016; Accepted May 16, 2016; Published May 27, 2016

Citation: Abrar-ul-haq M, Jali MRM, Islam GMN (2016) Measuring the Socio-Economic Empowerment of Rural Households in Pakistan. Bus Eco J 7: 236. doi:10.4172/2151-6219.1000236

Copyright: © 2016 Abrar-ul-haq M, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

economic empowerment as an explanatory variable for measuring poverty in rural households. Most studies used different proxy variables for measuring socioeconomic empowerment. These studies conclude that the empowerment has a strong impact on household welfare². However none of the studies measured socio-economic empowerment for the households. This study aims to construct a socio-economic Empowerment Index, to measure poverty in the rural households. The study will contribute a debate on the determinants of socio-economic empowerment and also highlights the spatial dimensions of socio-economic empowerment. The main contribution of this study is to develop an index for the measurement of socio-economic empowerment of rural households in Pakistan.

Empowerment is a process through which community become strong enough to participate and share in the control of and influence and institutions affecting their lives. Eyben et al. [1] suggested a framework where they explored conceptually and operationally for understanding empowerment of poor households. The study also examined the different facets of political, economic and social empowerment. Kabeer and Tasneem [2] explored the impact of women empowerment by using a combination of qualitative interviews and survey data. Study also analyzed how the paid women workers can participate in their intra-household decision making processes that contribute women empowerment. Bertini [3] analyzed the relationship among rural economy and adolescent girls. The study estimated that how agents contribute to both social and economic growth. The study also highlighted the ways in which bilateral donors and government can provide effective professional and personal support to rural girls' for empowerment.

Data and Methodology

The data used in this paper is obtained from household survey. A total 600 households were selected through multi-stage random sampling. The sample households were selected through applying four steps. At first, District Bahawalpur and District Bahawalnagr were randomly selected from division Bahawalpur. In the second step, two Tehsils (sub district) from each district were selected randomly, Tehsil Hasilpur and Kherpur-tamywale was chosen from Bahawalpur District and Tehsil Chishtian and Haroonabad were chosen from Bahawalnagr District. In the third step, two union councils selected from each Tehsil and then two villages from each union council were selected as sample. In the last step, number of households was selected in each village to obtain information. Total sixteen villages were selected from eight union council of Bahawalpur (two from each Hasilpur and Kherpur tamywale) and Bahawalnagr (two from each Chistian and Haroonabad). The information was collected from the head of household. Structured questionnaire was used to obtain the data which covered household demographic characteristics, community characteristics and other social development of rural households.

Selection and measurement of variables

Socio-economic empowerment comprises various social and economic conditions which are measured by a set of variables as defined in Table 1. Several variables were identified to measure household's socio-economic empowerment which includes household assets and factors that affect household's socio-economic empowerment.

The variables for economic empowerment and social empowerment were used to construct household's socioeconomic empowerment.

²Malik (1988), Amjad and Kemal (1997) Rehman and Chaudhry (2009), Chudhary et al. (2009): Qureshi and Arif (2001): Arif et al. (2000), Arif (2000): Ali and Tahir (1999).

Factor	Sub-Factor	Indicators
Social Empowerment	Education	Access to school
		Average education of the household
		Education of head of household
	Gender of household head	Gender of household head (male or female)
	Access to infrastructure	Access to announce price of the Government
		Access to support price government
		Relation to the local
		Participation in Panchiat (local government)
	Shelter	Area of the house
		House own or rented
Sanitation system (latrines)		
The availability of electricity		
Building types (Material)		
Economic Empowerment	Assets and property	Land owned
		Land leased
		Tractor
		Farm equipment
		Tube well
	Live stock	Sheep/Goat
		Buffalo/Cow
	Health within household	Serious disease (T.B, hepatitis, etc.)
		Availability of health care services
	Household accessories	Separate kitchen
		Motor-cycle
		Sewing machine
		Car/jeep
		Personal computer or laptop
		Water pump
		Washing machine
		Air-conditioner
		Room-cooler
		Refrigerator
		Cell phone
Television		
Radio		
Gold/silver/bonds		

Table 1: Framework of socio-economic empowerment index.

An index was constructed based on eight sub-factors; namely: shelter, education, assets and property, livestock, health of household members, gender of household head, house accessories, and access to infrastructure. These eight variables comprise thirty six factors presented in Table 2.

Construction of socio-economic empowerment index

Most of the existing work on empowerment emphasized the different ways of mobilizing social relationship from within civil society to engage with other actors. Empowerment of poor people can be measured through their access and participation in making decisions in community and social affairs. The severity of poverty due to disempowerment is responsive to the local situation, capacity building and access to information. This can be measured by constructing an empowerment index. Weights of Indicator variables are assigned in numerous ways. Ad hoc weights like as assign 5/6 for asset and property, 2/6 for health and 3/6 for education, effort to a definite extent but there are chance with regard to canvasser and are difficult to assign when socio-economic empowerment ordering is not clearly visible. For this entity the current study recommended The

Education of head of household	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Average education of the household	Assign value 0 if no-education; assign value 5 if the education is at middle level assign value 10 if the education is at college or university level
Access to school	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Household head is male or female	Household head is male then assign value 1, otherwise 0.
Participation in Panchayat	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Relation to the local governers	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Access to support price government	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Access to announce price of the Government	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Building types (Material)	If house is bricked assign value 1, otherwise 0
The availability of electricity	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Sanitation system (latrines)	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Personal house or rented	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Size of the house	Assign value 0 if house is less the 3 (Marla), assign value 1 if house is up to 7 (Marla), otherwise 2
Land owned	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Land leased	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Tractor	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Farm equipment	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Tube well	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Sheep/Goat	Assign value 1 for one sheep or Goat
Buffalo/Cow	Assign value 5 for one Buffalo or cow
Serious disease (T.B, hepatitis, etc.)	If yes Assigned value 0, otherwise 1
Availability of health care services	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Separate kitchen	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Motor-cycle	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Sewing machine	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Car/jeep	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Personal computer or laptop	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Water pump	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Washing machine	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Air-conditioner	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Room-cooler	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Refrigerator	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Cell phone	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Television	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Radio	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0
Gold/silver/bonds	If yes Assigned value 1, otherwise 0

Table 2: Description of variables.

Principle Components Analysis (PCA) is to allocate the weights of indicator variables. The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) allows the reduction of a number of variables into one or fewer variables. The PCA method is used to measure socio-economic empowerment which analyze the multiple correlation principle and can explain the variance of the dependent variables. The principal component analysis selects factors with eigenvalues greater than one and they are considered significant. The PCA method is used to measure empowerment based on the construction of indices using the formula:

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i X_i \quad (1)$$

Where, I: The Weighted Index; W: Percentage Contribution of Each Selected Variable as the Weight; X: The Value of Each Variable; and Σ: The Summation Sign.

The factor loadings of components of socio-economic empowerment are calculated by using principle component analysis. This process first standardizes the variables and then computes the eigenvalues which is recognized as the variance of the factor of element and hence it can be used to evaluate the significance of any factor or component. If the manifest variables are homogeneous, “then its mean

is zero and the variance is equal to one for each. If we have N identical manifest variables in our analysis, the summation of their variances is N. Principal component analysis (PCA) transforms the data such that the total variance components N distributed at random between the components. The first eigenvalue is higher than the second and next to persevere with the nth lowest eigenvalue. Currently the factor coefficient ratings or factor loadings usually are calculated by block and sum of all eigenvectors. The value which usually we acquire is regarded communalities or extraction value”.

Results and Discussion

There are several steps to construct socio-economic empowerment index: assign values, determinations of indicator variables, measurement of indicator weights and calculation of index value. Factor analysis is done to generate a socio-economic empowerment index and factors are extracted from the correlation matrix through principle component analysis.

This method ensures that every factor is independent. These extraction value or factor loadings are used to constrict socio-economic empowerment index. Each indicator is given an equal weight within

the index. Krishna and Uphoff stated that normalizing the range of each indicator to be between 0 and 1 by dividing each indicator by its range and then summing across the indicators. It can be seen that the dominant factor (Table 3) led to enhance the socio-economic empowerment with higher value of loading factor or extraction value (Table 3).

The results of the factor analysis are presented in Table 3. The results show that Participation in Panchayat (loading value 0.768), relation with local government (loading value 0.570), and access to government price (loading value 0.642) are the most significant factors that constitute social empowerment. They can argue against any wrong decisions and in addition, they are actively participating in local and social decision making. All of the thirty eight reveal variables are associated to the collective components; the cheapest extraction value is 0.504 (Gold/silver/bonds) (Figures 1 and 2).

Figure 2 illustrates the percentage of socio-economic empowerment in sub-factors. The diagram shows that household accessories contributed a large portion (30.05 %) to socio-economic empowerment. Access to infrastructure contributed 18.54%, access to assets and property contributed 17.77% and access to shelter (home) contributed 13.02 (Figure 2). These household assets contributed substantially to the socio-economic empowerment:

Social empowerment

The results of the study show that Social empowerment consists of four sub-factors namely; gender of household head, Education of household, access to infrastructure, shelter and these four indicators further consist on thirteen indicators. This study found ten indicators significant from social empowerment whose extraction value is greater than 0.5 that represent 42.90% contributes in socio-economic empowerment as shown in Figure 1 and remaining three indicators are dropped. Now we check further its distribution in sub-factors, how much contribution each sub- Factors and then how much percentage

of each indicator within the sub-factors represents in socioeconomic empowerment. The percentage share of each of the significant factors contributed to social empowerment is discussed.

Gender of household head: Households participation in social and political matters in rural areas is determined by gender of head of household. Rural poverty is strongly influenced by gender of household head³ and it represents 4% socio-economic empowerment as shown above in Figure 2.

Education: Employment opportunities have been set better as a result of high educational ability, especially the rural competition a best knowledge of growing crops with new agricultural technologies as well as associated practices. The results show that two indicators are significant namely: education of head of household and average education of the household with factor value 0.507 (3.75%) and 0.511 (3.78%) respectively. Education represents 7.53 % socio-economic empowerment as shown above in Figure 2 and Table 2.

Access to infrastructure: This study used four variables to measure access to infrastructure, namely: access to announce price of government, access of support price of government, relation with local governess and participation in Panchayat and its factor lading is 0.642 (4.75%), 0.526 (3.89%), 0.570 (4.22%) and 0.768 (5.68) respectively. Access to infrastructure contribute 18.56 % in socio-economic empowerment which shown in Figure 2.

Shelter: Socio-economic empowerment has been affected through quality of shelter house and type of shelter. The poor household also live in more risk and uncertain condition, poor sanitary facilities that affect directly to their health and that may influence less productivity of household members.

On the other side, good housing atmosphere leads to good health and provide the base for enhancing economic productivity within the

³See also Bogale, et al. (2005).

Factor	Sub-Factor	Indicators	Factor loading	(%)
Social Empowerment (42.90%)	Education (7.53%)	Education of head of household	0.507	3.75
		Average education of the household	0.511	3.78
	Gender of household head (3.80%)	Household head is male or female	0.513	3.80
		Access to infrastructure (18.54%)	Access to announce price of the Government	0.642
	Access to support price government		0.526	3.89
	Relation with local governess		0.570	4.22
	Participation in Panchiat		0.768	5.68
	Shelter (13.02%)	Building types (Material)	0.675	4.99
		availability of electricity	0.564	4.17
		Sanitation (latrines)	0.521	3.86
Economic Empowerment (57.10%)		Assets and Property (17.77%)	Land owned	0.614
	Tractor		0.566	4.19
	Farm equipment		0.655	4.85
	Tube well		0.567	4.20
	Live stock (4.04%)	Sheep/Goat	0.544	4.03
	Health within household (5.25%)	Availability of health care services	0.710	5.25
	House accessories (30.05%)	Gold/silver/bonds	0.504	3.73
		Television	0.559	4.14
		Cell phone	0.632	4.68
		Refrigerator	0.542	4.01
Air-conditioner		0.576	4.26	
Personal computer or laptop		0.676	5.00	
	Car/jeep	0.572	4.23	
Total			13.51	100

Table 3: Results of the factor analysis.




Figure 1: Economic empowerment contributed higher (57.1%) to the socio-economic empowerment compared to the social empowerment that represents 42.90%.




Figure 2: Household accessories contributed a large portion (30.05%) to socio-economic empowerment.

household and enhance social empowerment. Five components were taken to explain shelter in current study namely; service indicators focused on the availability of electricity, types of building (type of house martial and size), own house/rented, and the size of house. Environmental indicator is concerned the level of sanitation system (latrine) but only three indicator has found significant namely; type of building with loading value 0.675 (4.99%), the availability of electricity with loading value 0.564 (4.17) and sanitation system (latrine) with extraction value 0.521 (3.86%). Shelter represents 13.02% contributed to socio-economic empowerment of the rural household and reduced social and economic empowerment.

Economic empowerment: Economic empowerment comprise four sub-factors namely; assets and property, livestock, household health, and household accessories. Out of total twenty three indicators, thirteen indicators were significant in economic empowerment whose extraction value is greater than 0.5 that represent 57.10 % contributes in socio-economic empowerment as shown in Figure 1 and reaming ten indicators whose extraction value is lesser than 0.5 were therefore dropped. The percentage shares of these sub-factors are discussed below.

Assets and property: Households possess various productive assets that may generate income level and affect social values. Five indicators were used to measure the current level of assets and property of household, namely; land rented, land owned; tube well, farming equipment and tractor. The results of the study show that only four indicators were significant namely; land owned, tractor, farm equipment and tube well with loading value 0.614(4.54%), 0.566 (4.19), 0.65(4.85) and 0.567(4.20) respectively (Table 3). Assets and property represent 17.77% of socio-economic empowerment of household.

Livestock: Rural households generally rear livestock as important productive assets in the rural economy of Pakistan that positively enhances the income of rural households. The study utilized two indicators to computing live stock of their household, namely; cow/buffalo and sheep/goat⁴ but found only one indicator significant namely; sheep/goat with loading value 0.544 that represent 4.03 of socio-economic empowerment of the rural household.

Health status of households: The study used two variables to analyze health of household, namely: disease status and availability of health care services [4-10]. The results of the study show that the availability of health care services was significant with loading value 0.710 that represent 5.25% socio-economic empowerment of household.

House accessories: This study used fourteen indicators to measure household accessories. Household accessories contain material goods which enhance economic empowerment of household, namely: separate kitchen, motor-cycle, sewing machine, car/jeep, personal computer, water pump, washing machine, air conditioner, room color, refrigerator, cell phone, television, radio and gold/silver/bonds [11-16]. The results of the study show that seven indicators were significant namely; gold/silver/bonds, television, cell phone, refrigerator, air-conditioner, personal computer/laptop and car/jeep with extraction values 0.504 (3.73%), 0.559 (4.14), 0.632 (4.68), 0.542 (4.01%), 0.576 (4.26), 0.676 (5.00%) and 0.572 (4.23%) respectively. Household accessories represent 30.05% socio-economic empowerment of the rural household [17-20].

Conclusion

Socio-economic empowerment index was constructed for the first time for measuring household poverty of Pakistan by using two main variables economic empowerment and social empowerment. Eight sub-factors were identified namely; education, gender of household head, access to infrastructure and shelter from social empowerment and assets and property, livestock, health condition of households and household accessories from economic empowerment [21-23]. Sub-factors further consist on twenty six indicators namely; Education of head of household, Average education of the household, Household head is male or female, Participation in Punctiat, Relation to the local governess, Access to sport price government, Access to announce price of the Government, Building types (size and type of martial), The availability of electricity, Sanitation system (latrines), Land owned, Tractor, Farm equipment, Tube well, Sheep/Goat, Availability of health care services, Gold/silver/bonds, Television, Cell phone, Refrigerator, Air-conditioner, Personal computer or laptop and Car/jeep. Principal component method is used for deriving weights for the policy variables. In current study shows that 42.90% socio-economic empowerment represent by social empowerment and 57.10% represents by economic empowerment. Social empowerment has further four Sub-factors; education, gender of household head, access to infrastructure and shelter that represents 7.53%, 3.80%, 18.54% and 13.02% socio-economic empowerment respectively.

Economic empowerment also depends further four sub-factors namely; assets and property, live stock, health within household and household accessories that represent socio-economic empowerment 17.77%, 4.04%, 5.25% and 30.05% respectively. The study concluded that the above stated variables are significantly contributed to socio-economic empowerment of rural households. EMPPI computed in this study can be used in further research to evaluate the impact of

⁴See also; Hashmi (2006).

Socio-economic empowerment on different economic indicator at micro and macro level in Pakistan. This will help to determine whether Socio-economic empowerment is affecting other economic indicator positively or negatively.

References

1. Eyben R, Kabeer N, Cornwall A (2008) Conceptualising Empowerment and the Implications for Pro-Poor Growth. Paper prepared for the DAC Poverty Network by the Institute of Development Studies, Brighton.
2. Kabeer N, Mahmud S, Tasneem S (2011) Does Paid Work Provide a Pathway to Women's Empowerment? Empirical Findings from Bangladesh. IDS, Brighton.
3. Bertini C (2011) Girls Grow: A Vital Force in Rural Economies. The Chicago Council on Global Affairs.
4. Chaudhry IS, Malik S, Hassan AU (2009) The Impact of Socioeconomic and Demographic Variables on Poverty: A Village Study. The Lahore Journal of Economics 14: 39-68.
5. Akerele D, Momoh S, Adewuyi SA, Phillip BB, Ashaolu OF (2012) Socioeconomic Determinants of Poverty Among Urban Households in South-West Nigeria. International Journal of Social Economics 39: 168-181.
6. Arif GM (2000) Recent Rise in Poverty and Its Implications for Poor Households in Pakistan. The Pakistan Development Review 39: 1153-1170.
7. Arif GM, Nazli H, Haq R (2000) Rural Non-agriculture Employment and Poverty in Pakistan. The Pakistan Development Review 39: 1089-1110.
8. Bogale A, Hagedorn K, Korf B (2005) Determinants of Poverty in Rural Ethiopia. Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture 44: 101-120.
9. Centre for Research on Poverty Production and Income Distribution (2003) Pakistan, Human Condition Report 2003. United Nations Development Programmes Centre for Research on Poverty Reeducation and Income Distribution, Islamabad.
10. GOP (2008) Punjab Deviltment Statistics. Bureau of Statistics, Government of Punjab (GOP), Lahore.
11. GOP (2011) Economic Survey of Pakistan. Economic Adviser's Wing Ministry of Finance, Government of Pakistan (GOP), Islamabad.
12. Government of Pakistan (2012) Pakistan Economic Survey. Federal Bureau of Statistics, Islamabad.
13. Haq MAU, Ayub K, Ullah I (2015) Micro-level Determinants of Rural Poverty in Pakistan. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications 5: 1-4.
14. Haq MAU, Jali MMR, Ayub K (2015) Poverty Alleviation in Pakistan: Evidence from Project Area of Asian Development Bank in Southern Punjab. Journal of Poverty, Investment and Development 8: 166-171.
15. Haq MAU, Jali MRM, Ayub K (2015) Poverty in Pakistan: Evidence from Project Area of Asian Development Bank in Southern Punjab. Journal of poverty, Investment and Development 8: 166-171.
16. Haq MAU, Nawaz MA, Methab N, Cheema AKH (2012) Determinants of Wage Growth: An Empirical Analysis of Bahawalpur. Business and Economic Research.
17. Hashmi AA, Sial MH, Hashmi MH (2008) Trends and Determinants of Rural Poverty: A Logistic Regression Analysis of Selected Districts of Punjab. The Pakistan Development Review 47: 909-923.
18. Khan REA, Qazi MAH (2010) Financial sector reforms and household savings in Pakistan: An ARDL approach. African Journal of Business Management 4: 3447-3456.
19. Khan REA, Rehman HU, Haq MAU (2015) The Role of Household Socioeconomic Empowerment. American-Eurasian J Agric & Environ Sci 15: 93-98.
20. Kozel V (2000) Social and Economic Determinants of Poverty in India's Poorest Regions: Qualitative and Quantitative Assessments. Integrating Quantitative and Qualitative Methods in Bank Operations, World Bank, Washington.
21. Malik S (1996) Determinants of Rural Poverty in Pakistan: A Micro Study. The Pakistan Development Review 35: 171-187.
22. Rutstein SO, Kiersten J (2004) The DHS Wealth Index. DHS Comparative Reports No. 6. Calverton, Maryland: ORC Macro.
23. State Bank of Pakistan (2009) Pakistan Financial Sector Assessment 2008. Research Department of State Bank of Pakistan, Karachi.

Citation: Abrar-ul-haq M, Jali MRM, Islam GMN (2016) Measuring the Socio-Economic Empowerment of Rural Households in Pakistan. Bus Eco J 7: 236. doi:10.4172/2151-6219.1000236

OMICS International: Publication Benefits & Features

Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

Special features:

- 700+ Open Access Journals
- 50,000+ Editorial team
- Rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at major indexing services
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsgroup.org/journals/submission>